This sweeping condemnation of all politicians who supported the United States Steel Corporation during the Mesabi Iron Range miners’ strike of 1916 was issued by neither radical union organizers nor striking miners. Rather, the writers of this fiery diatribe were Claude M. Atkinson and his son, Marc, owner/editors of one small but highly vocal Iron Range newspaper, the *Mesaba Ore and Hibbing News*.¹

In the summer of 1916, the Mesabi Iron Range was the site of a bloody showdown between thousands of striking miners and U.S. Steel, the vast organization that, through its subsidiaries—in particular, the Oliver Mining Company—owned and operated the mines. Described as “one of the largest and most violent labor strikes in Minnesota’s history,” the strike was given front-page coverage in many Iron Range newspapers, the majority of which sided with U.S. Steel against the miners’ claims. Long before the strike began, the *Mesaba Ore and Hibbing News* was unique in expressing condemnation and outright loathing of the “Big Fellows”—the mining companies and those who supported them. As such, the father-and-son editors were instrumental in courageously presenting alternative, and often unpopular, viewpoints.²

The Atkinsons used the platform of the newspaper they owned to print what suited them. Their articles frequently took a high moral tone, with editorializing replacing objective reportage, a common practice in journalism of that era. By covering the strike the way they did, the *Mesaba Ore and Hibbing News* was unique in expressing condemnation and outright loathing of the “Big Fellows”—the mining companies and those who supported them. As such, the father-and-son editors were instrumental in courageously presenting alternative, and often unpopular, viewpoints.

DR. ALLARD, who grew up in St. Paul, Minnesota, recently retired as associate professor in the School of Arts and Education at Deakin University in Melbourne, Victoria, Australia. Frequent childhood visits to her grandparents’ home in Hibbing stimulated her ongoing interest in Iron Range history. She returns to visit family in Minnesota regularly, most recently in 2014, when she researched this article.
Ore editors revealed themselves to be a hometown force, one that eventually even U.S. Steel Corporation took seriously. The newspaper’s support of miners’ rights evolved over the course of the three-and-a-half-month strike. Eventually, their passionate stance on behalf of the miners placed the Atkinsons themselves in the line of fire. By the time the strike came to an end on September 17, rather than simply reporting the news, they had become the news.3

Claude Atkinson, an experienced and respected newspaperman, had worked on papers in Wisconsin, Michigan, Wyoming, and Montana before buying the Mesaba Ore in 1902, soon after the family moved to Minnesota. With son Marc, who was 27 years old in 1916, he published the paper once a week, on Saturday, from offices at 108 Third Avenue in Hibbing. Regular advertisers included the local drug store, dentist, jeweler, and hat shop. Claude’s 25-year-old daughter, Beatrice, wrote the weekly social column. Church services and local events were regularly covered. In the summer of 1916, the local news was the strike.4

Far from being malcontents, the Atkinsons were first and foremost loyal citizens of Hibbing, which they proudly called “the richest village in the world.” They enthusiastically endorsed as a possible contender for the governor’s office Victor L. Power, who in 1916 was on the second of what would eventually be 10 terms as village president. As business people, the editors were aware of the need to keep readers and advertisers on their side. They were initially careful in expressing their views concerning the strike. In a tightrope act worthy of circus performers, on July 1, one month after the strike began, the Atkinsons wrote both in support of local businesses whom, they argued, “must put up with the bulk of the burden” during the strike, as well as the striking miners, whom they initially described as “deluded and easily-led” but who, the Atkinsons suggested, should be allowed to “march the streets if they want” because, “[a]fter a few unmolested marches and a few meetings, the novelty of the thing will have passed—and there will be better feeling all around.” Like many of the papers published on the Range and in Duluth, their initial belief that the strike would be short-lived and ineffective proved to be a serious misjudgment.5

The Mesaba Ore was happy to publicize the striking miners’ demands, reprinting them on at least four occasions. The strikers’ claims were straightforward, including demands for an eight-hour day that started from the time miners traveled down to the mine or into the open pits, a minimum wage of $3.00 per day in the underground mines, or $3.50 for those who worked in wet areas, abolishment of the contract system that required miners to buy their own tools and supplies, payday twice a month, and double pay for overtime. The miners had cause to believe that

In 1916, the Mesaba Ore was published at 108 Third Ave. in Hibbing, possibly in this building (exact address unknown) that Atkinson owned.
their demands were justified: with World War I into its third year in Europe, iron ore was a precious commodity, essential for the production of the steel required for munitions, tanks, and other war supplies. U.S. Steel Corporation, and in particular its subsidiary, Oliver Mining Company, were making incredible profits. Earnings in the first six months of 1916 for U.S. Steel were $131 million, while the wages of the miners who produced the ore fell well below the local cost of living in the northern United States, and compared poorly to the minimum wages paid to other workers in the country.6

Initial battle lines were drawn on June 3, 1916, when miner Joe Greeni, angry at the money subtracted from his wages to cover the cost of his tools, walked off the job and took his fellow miners with him. The strike soon spread from Aurora and Biwabik at the eastern rim of the Mesabi Range to the mines on the western rim, including those near the major towns of Virginia and Hibbing.7 Into the battle came the Industrial Workers of the World. Regarded by many as “bizarre and outlandishly revolutionary,” the IWW was a radical labor organization founded in 1905. Historians have described the IWW as “first and foremost an organization which sought to organize unskilled and semi-skilled workers into industrial unions for higher wages, shorter hours, and better working conditions.” Nonetheless, it was feared by many. Unlike the conservative, craft-based American Federation of Labor (AFL), the “One Big Union” was an industrial union committed to direct action and prepared to work not only with unskilled migrants, but also women and people of color.8

The IWW had a presence on the Iron Range dating to 1911 and maintained an office in Duluth. “Big” Bill Haywood, head of the IWW, sent organizers to the Iron Range in 1916 to offer support to the striking miners. The organizers were dedicated, and at times confrontational. Over the course of the strike, they offered financial as well as moral support and guidance to the strikers and their families at great personal risk to themselves.9

Many St. Louis County citizens, including the Atkinsons, strongly disapproved of having representatives of such a radical organization on the Range. The IWW and, in particular, Bill Haywood (who 10 years earlier had been acquitted of the murder of a former governor of Colorado in a controversial trial that received national press coverage), had a reputation for anarchy and bloodshed. Many of the Range newspapers invoked Haywood’s reputation for using violence during industrial disputes as evidence of the mayhem and chaos associated with the IWW. Despite the fact that Haywood himself never appeared on the Range during the strike, the Atkinsons were among those who spoke out against him, criticizing him as “a strong arm worker of the most pronounced type.” They were prepared to add, on July 15, “That there are honest and well-meaning men as leaders of the strike movement on the Mesaba range there is no room for denial but whatever of good they may create it is promptly destroyed by the Haywood influence.”10

Yet, the Atkinsons did not view the IWW as the greatest villain. That honor went to the Oliver Mining Company. Long before the strike started, the Atkinsons used their paper to condemn the “Big Fellows” for trying to “wipe Hibbing off the map.” One sarcastic paragraph, in the June 24 edition of the paper, succinctly summarizes the reasons why they held U.S. Steel and William James Olcott, the president of Oliver Mining Company, in such contempt:

Less than four years ago, when the people of Hibbing secured an injunction restraining Mr. Olcott’s benevolent company

Far from being malcontents, the Atkinsons were first and foremost loyal citizens of Hibbing.
from blasting the daylights out of Hibbing, Mr. Olcott caused all of his company’s mines within a radius of two miles of Hibbing to be closed down as a punishment to the people for daring to interfere with Mr. Olcott and his company. . . . Then, we recall that just a year ago Mr. Olcott’s big hearted organization led a movement wherein the Oliver Iron Mining company and ten other mining concerns operating in this district refused to pay their taxes, in open violation of the law. . . . This effort . . . was to punish the people for having elected to office a man who conducted the affairs of the village in the interest of the people rather than in the interest of the mining companies.11

The man was Victor Power. Because of Power’s leadership, the Oliver Mining Company was forced to pay their long-overdue back taxes, saving Hibbing from financial ruin.

The early predictions that the strike would be short-lived were nullified when, on June 22, a striking miner, John Alar of Virginia, was shot and killed by sheriff’s “deputies”—gunmen whose salaries were paid by the mining company. Alar’s funeral served as a rallying point. Over 4,000 miners from across the Range gathered at the Socialist Hall in Virginia and marched behind Alar’s casket. Led by IWW organizer Carlo Tresca, under a banner that read “Murdered by Oliver Gunmen,” the march was followed by impassioned speeches where Tresca demanded “an eye for an eye or a life for a life.” Oddly, the weekly Mesaba Ore gave almost no coverage to Alar’s murder, which occurred on the Thursday before its Saturday, June 24, edition, nor did the July 1 issue cover his funeral, which occurred on Monday, June 26.12

Now the battle had turned bloody, and the Atkinsons were clear about whom to blame: the “Oliver gunmen.” On the front page of the July 8 edition, they announced “Every killing that has taken place on the range during the present labor trouble has been the direct result of interference by the hired thugs of the mining company.” They asserted that “[i]t has become the general practice of the Oliver Iron Mining company’s private policemen to abuse the strikers at every opportunity and wholly without cause, and the miners don’t like that sort of thing any better than any other human being.”13

While the hired “thugs” became the local face of the hated mining company, the other organization that the Atkinsons took exception to was the Duluth News Tribune, a daily newspaper they described as “a nobody, toad-eater that cringes at the heels of the Steel Corporation” and “a confirmed liar that nothing on earth can move . . . in the right direction.” The editors appeared to relish taking on the much larger daily paper and laying accusations that verged on libelous.14 The Atkinsons also weren’t averse to accusing other papers of libel. When the editor of the Tower News printed that the Mesaba Ore was “championing the cause” of the IWW, the Atkinsons responded by declaiming:

That’s a base libel, Dad, and if we didn’t know you for being the good old scout you are, we’d be inclined to take a whack at that old bald dome of yours. The Ore is not championing the I.W.W. or any red flag outfit because we don’t believe in that sort of stuff. What we are trying to do is to enter our humble protest against the many abuses practiced by the mining companies—abuses that give the I.W.W. and the socialists their footholds on the range. Get us right next time, old chap.15

This conversational style and engagement with the editorials of other newspapers was a common
feature of the Mesaba Ore. As more of their fellow editors attacked the Atkinsons’ outspoken support for the miners, they reprint and responded to the accusations in the Mesaba Ore. 16

In their repeated condemnations of the Duluth News Tribune as the mouthpiece of U.S. Steel and the “un-American” private police force of the mining company, the Atkinsons came dangerously close to being in complete agreement with the IWW organizers, despite their declared dislike and disapproval of “that grafting, cut-throat outfit.” While the Mesaba Ore, for example, referred to the Duluth News Tribune as a “toad-eating” newspaper and “a liar,” the Strikers’ News, an occasional paper produced by IWW organizers, which claimed to be the “Official Strike Bulletin of the Striking Iron Ore Miners of the Mesaba Range,” frequently referred to the News Tribune as “the Duluth Spittoon.” Like the Atkinsons, the producers of the Strikers’ News were scathing about the “hired thugs” of the mining companies, whom they viewed as agent provocateurs. 17

As locals, the Atkinsons were able to put current events into historical context. In the July 2 edition they wrote:

The men on strike are the men imported nine years ago [during the strike of 1907] to take the place of the Finns, and in those long and grinding years they have become imbued with a touch of American independence—they have seen the cost of living advance about two hundred per cent in the nine years since they came to work in the mines of the Mesaba range, while their wages have not been increased to exceed fifty per cent. Is it, therefore, any wonder they listen to the labor agitator? 18

The Atkinsons, as the self-declared voice “of the underdog . . . in a nation where two per cent of the population owns sixty per cent of the property,” appeared to become more radicalized as the strike became more violent and abuses of power by the mining company more extreme. One such abuse was the arrest of three IWW organizers, Tresca, Sam Scarlett, and Joe Schmidt, along with five miners and one miner’s wife, for the murder of Deputy Sheriff James Myron and an innocent bystander, Tomi Ladvalla, on July 3, 1916, in Biwabik. This clearly offended the Mesaba Ore editors, who spoke out against the unfair treatment of the organizers “arrested for an alleged crime that was committed twenty-five miles away [from where they were]. They are taken without warrant, denied the constitutional right to a hearing within twenty-four hours, railroaded seventy-five miles to Duluth in a special train over a Steel corporation railroad, and finally held to the grand jury on the charge of murder.” This critique of the treatment of the IWW men put the Atkinsons in the minority of editors on the Range and was indicative of their changing attitudes. 19

While many other Range papers continued to accuse the IWW of taking money from the strikers to benefit themselves, the Atkinsons, by mid-July, no longer endorsed this widespread belief. “Notwithstanding all of the noise that has been raised by the toad-eating press,” they wrote on July 15, “the fact remains that the Industrial Workers of the World has not asked to be recognized by the mining companies and the only demands made so far have been for the direct benefit of the miners and laborers. Keep that in mind.” 20

After the arrest of organizers Tresca, Scarlett, and Schmidt following the clash of July 3, the IWW sent Joseph Ettor and Elizabeth Gurley Flynn to take their places. Flynn was no stranger to the Range, having worked there during the strike in 1907. Her arrival in mid-July marked a turning point, at least for the senior Atkinson, who proudly announced in the paper that “‘the old man’ got to shake her hand and was ‘glad of it.” 21
anarchist that preached bloodshed and murder throughout the land, as a means for adjusting the difference between capital and labor” and then quickly added, “but we have found her nothing of the kind, and the mining men and others who were at the meeting with us will verify the statement.” The elder Atkinson waxed poetic when declaring that Flynn’s speech was “a message of peace, and full of encouragement for those who need just such spirits as that of Miss Flynn to keep alive the hope within them.” The story was reprinted in the Strikers’ News of Aug. 11, 1916, under the headline “Elizabeth Gurley Flynn Makes Good Impression. Newspaper Man Finds Her Different from What She Has Been Painted.” That Claude Atkinson became a news item in the paper produced by the IWW became one more piece of evidence used against the editors of the Mesaba Ore.22

In their eagerness to declare themselves as supporters of the underdog and to take a stand against U.S. Steel, the editors’ hyperbole occasionally made for inaccurate reportage. For example, their claim that the corporation newspapers portrayed Flynn as “a fiery anarchist” does not stand up to close examination. The Duluth News Tribune, unlike the Mesaba Ore, actually reprinted verbatim a large section of Flynn’s July 20 speech. In their coverage, she was described as “the personal representative” of Bill Haywood and as an “I.W.W. agitator.” She was then quoted as saying, “I am not here to tell you what to do. I am here to say that you should stand by the I. W. W. in making this strike a success. . . . The I.W.W. is your friend and I leave it to your own intelligence whether you should support it.” This, as well as other stories about Flynn in the Duluth News Tribune, hardly portrayed her as an advocate of bloodshed and murder, as the Mesaba Ore editors claimed.23

As another indication of how their views became more radical as the strike progressed, by the end of the summer the Atkinsons were printing accusations that the giant U.S. Steel Corporation was stealing the resources that rightfully belonged to the people of Minnesota. This seems a radical version of resource ownership under capitalism, an interpretation more in keeping with the revolutionary ideals of the IWW, and a stance that goes much further than mere support of miners’ claims for improved wages and conditions.24

The editors’ vilification of the Oliver Mining Company and the private army of gunmen employed by them became even more vociferous as the summer progressed. On August 5, the Atkinsons went so far as to state that one of the hired gunmen, Nick Dillon, accused of shooting Tomi Ladvala, had been promoted by the mining companies from being a “bouncer” at a brothel because “he is a killer. So long as the mining companies can employ killers that will kill, they want to keep such men as Dillon on their pay-roll.”25

And the Atkinsons did not stop there. Also in their sights was Governor Joseph A. A. Burnquist, who had ordered St. Louis County sheriff John R. Meining to “stop the rioting” on the Range, directing him to swear in a posse if necessary. The Atkinsons saw this as outside interference and uncalled-for criticism of Range authorities, and blamed the governor for bowing to pressure from the U.S. Steel Corporation. “Governor Burnquist is trying to make people believe he is possessed of a backbone, but we are willing to bet it is nothing more than a desire to toady to the Big Fellows—a kind of Rooseveltian bluff.” Two weeks later, under the headline “Standing on the Neck of the Laborers,” they implied that Burnquist was looking to get himself reelected through his stance. “Obviously Governor Burnquist thought he saw an opportunity to make himself solid with the mining companies which he evidently believed still had the power to drive their men to the polls and make them vote according to orders, and he played politics—using his high office to aid the mining companies in their great effort to grind down the laboring men of the Mesaba range.”26

Bold claims, indeed.

Retaliation finally came. In mid-August, the Duluth News Tribune claimed that the Mesaba Ore and Hibbing News, like the feared IWW, was “anarchistic” in its principles. Being called out as an anarchist in a newspaper with the wide circulation of the Duluth News Tribune was dangerous and could have serious consequences. This was only eight months before the Minnesota Public Safety Commis-

The editors’ vilification of the Oliver Mining Company and the private army of gunmen employed by them became even more vociferous as the summer progressed.
... is not practiced by the laboring men who are asking for a few cents increase in their pay—it is openly, wantonly and viciously practiced by the United States Steel Corporation and its hirelings which extend into every branch of the government,” they wrote on August 19. In another article on the same day, they proclaimed that “No great cause ever gained footing in this country or any other without revolt, and we sincerely hope that the people in this state are reaching in that direction—there is surely cause enough for revolt.” In near biblical prose—seemingly another indication of how their attitudes toward the IWW had clearly shifted as a result of the strike—they wrote, “It may be in time that the present activities of the Industrial Workers of the World will be looked upon as the spirit that brought forth the men that will lead us up out of the wilderness.”

But it was in mid-September, the same weekend that the strike itself came to an end, that the most serious blow was dealt, again by the Atkinsons’ nemesis, the Duluth News Tribune. This time, the editors of the Duluth paper charged both Power and the Atkinsons with graft. Specifically, the editors of the Mesaba Ore were accused in a report undertaken by the State Examiner on behalf of the governor of defrauding the village of Hibbing of $13,000 over the previous five years. Once again, the Atkinsons went on the offensive, using three pages of the September 16 edition to refute the charges against them: “During the long years we have been engaged in
what we are pleased to call a fight for humanity, we have not received one five cent piece as payment for that effort we have made to expose and fasten upon the minds of the people of Minnesota, the nefarious operations of the Steel corporation.”

The Atkinsons argued that the claim that they had overcharged (and thus defrauded) the village of $13,000 in printing charges was based on an incorrect assumption: namely, that the printing done for the village should have been charged at a lower “legal advertising” rate but was instead charged at the higher “display advertising” rate. Furthermore, they argued, the report was undertaken at the behest of U.S. Steel Corporation, which was looking for something to use against Power during his March 1916 reelection campaign, and once completed, was improperly leaked to the Duluth News Tribune. They defended Power, and also accused: “Will all the noise by the Steel corporation cover up the fact that it is not paying its employees a decent wage and that it is robbing Minnesota of its mineral wealth?”

The Atkinsons also gave Power a front-page column to refute the charges made by the News Tribune against him and other members of the Hibbing council. Despite the seriousness of the charges, the Atkinsons’ sense of humor was still evident in one jocular statement that appeared on page 1 of the September 16 edition: “If we can only manage to keep out of jail until after the huntin’ season we’ll take chances on the rest.” Because most of the Mesaba Ore’s pages that Saturday were devoted to the editors defending themselves and Victor Power against the graft charges, the strike itself received little coverage. By forcing the Atkinsons to address accusations of fraud, the Duluth News Tribune, and indirectly the Oliver Mining Company, had found a way to silence their most strident critics.

By mid-September, the battle was over. A day after the Atkinsons published their response to the charges of graft, the miners voted to end the strike; within a month, miners’ wages were increased and conditions improved; and within three months the charges of first-degree murder against IWW organizers were dropped and they were released from jail. As part of the agreement, three immigrant miners accepted prison sentences.

While U.S. Steel’s refusal to enter into negotiations with the miners was blamed on the presence of the IWW, in hindsight, the Atkinsons were far more astute than many of their newspaper colleagues when they wrote, “The policy of the Mesaba range mining companies is to prevent their employees from organizing and the Industrial Workers of the World is used merely as a blind to hide their own lawlessness. The same conditions would have prevailed no matter what might have been the name of the labor organization.”

OVER THE COURSE of the strike, Claude and Marc Atkinson, father and son, used the power of their small local press to give voice to the concerns of those who lacked the power to make themselves heard. Their support of the miners’ rights, moderate to begin with, became stronger and more unequivocal as the violence against the strikers increased. Fearlessly, they refused to curtail their criticisms of the “Big Fellows.” Indeed, they became more strident in the face of U.S. Steel Corporation’s opposition. Their advocacy of the rights of citizens to have their say and to have their labor fairly rewarded drew widespread condemnation from a number of other editors and made the Atkinsons the targets of baseless and dangerous charges. “Anarchists” and “grafters”—the accusations against them—had come not only from the hated Duluth News Tribune but also from some of their colleagues in other Range newspapers. Yet the Atkinsons stood their ground to demand justice from the Oliver Mining Company and to hold government officials to account.

Nothing appeared to have come of the charges against the Atkinsons, despite the fact that their unremitting criticism of the mining corporation
continued in the following year. In the long term, their advocacy on behalf of the strikers and their stance against Oliver Mining appears to have earned them local respect and greater success, as measured by the fact that the weekly paper expanded to daily publication in 1920, renamed the Hibbing Daily News and Mesaba Ore. The daily paper continued under the auspices of the Atkinson duo until the end of 1927, when Claude retired.

Claude Atkinson died suddenly of influenza on January 1, 1929, in Litchfield, Illinois, while on a visit to son Marc, who had moved with his family from Hibbing to become editor of the Litchfield Times. Claude's death received banner headlines and front-page coverage in papers across the Range, with his friend and colleague Grove Wills, editor of the Eveleth Clarion, aptly noting, "No person ever had reason to guess for whom and for what he championed." Revisiting this David and Goliath battle between a small weekly newspaper and the mighty U.S. Steel Corporation serves as a reminder of the importance of the free press in a democratic society. One hundred years on, the Atkinsons' words, published in the Mesaba Ore on September 9, 1916, near the strike's conclusion, are a testament to their abiding belief in the power of the fourth estate to advocate for social and economic change: "We shall continue the fight and glory in it." This serves as a fitting epitaph to the Atkinsons, and to the ordinary people of the Range, whose rights they argued for so passionately in the summer of 1916.
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